

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/611,843             | 07/01/2003  | Tommy E. White       | GP-302711               | 2769             |
| 7590 09/27/2004        |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| KATHRYN A MARRA        |             |                      | MORROW, JASON S         |                  |
| General Motors         | Corporation |                      |                         |                  |
| Mail Code 482-C23-B21  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| P.O. Box 300           |             |                      | 3612                    |                  |
| Detroit, MI 48265-3000 |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | γ          |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| ζ.(   | 10/611,843   | WHITE ET AL.  |            |  |  |  |
| • Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |            |  |  |  |
|   | Jason S. Morrow  | 3612  |            |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply   | nication appears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence addres   | ss         |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corr  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty or the time of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a umunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by will, by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) fi  | led on .   |   | •          |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.  | 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |            |  |  |  |
|   | n for allowance except for formal mat<br>tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I  | •   | erits is   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 19 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18, 20-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restr   | are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by t   | he Examiner.   |   |            |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are  | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |            |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any obj  | ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |            |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected.   | g the correction is required if the drawing<br>to by the Examiner. Note the attache  | • •   | • •        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>   | y documents have been received. y documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have beer onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No  received in this National Sta   | ge         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |            |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (   | 4) L Interview PTO-948) Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date  |            |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152  | 2)         |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/611,843 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-18 and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not disclose how the inner member can be made in one-piece and the outer member can be made in one-piece. It is unclear how each of these members could be constructed according to the techniques disclosed by the applicant, as they are far more complex than any frame member made in one-piece according to the prior art. It is unclear how the members could be constructed without welding or joining in some manner smaller pieces to construct the inner or outer member.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3612

4. Claims 1, 8, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schroeder et al. '458.

Re claim 1, Schroeder et al. discloses a body and frame assembly for a vehicle comprising a one-piece inner member (16) mated with a one-piece outer member (12), each of the members defining door openings for opposing sides of the vehicle (the door openings of the inner member oppose the door openings of the outer member).

Re claim 8, the inner and outer members define holes for at least one of door hinges, wiring and trim components (the inner and outer member door openings are holes for trim members, that being doors and their associated components).

Re claim 16, the inner member and the outer member are joined at least partially by bonding (column 1, line 47).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 19 is allowed.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regard to the claims rejected.

The applicant argues with respect to the 112, first paragraph rejection that one reasonably skilled in the art could make or use the invention from the disclosure in the application and with information known in the art. As support for this assertion, applicant refers to the disclosures of U.S. Patents 6,253,588 and 5,974,847. While both of these references show quick plastic

Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

forming and super plastic forming to be well known in the art, neither discloses how to make an object such as that disclosed by applicant. Both references show making body parts of relatively common size and relatively flat composition in comparison to applicant's invention. In contrast, to be made from a single sheet of metal, the applicant's invention would seemingly have to be made from an excessively large sheet of metal requiring numerous complex bending steps not taught by either of the references. Applicant points out that the Rashid et al. reference refers to the decklid contemplated by that reference as being "a challenging one-step, one piece forming operation". If that object is challenging, then the object contemplated by applicant is above and beyond that comprehended by the Rashid et al. reference and certainly not with the skill level of one reasonably skilled in the art. The invention of applicant contains numerically more bends and more complex bends than shown by the prior art. The Examiner is not disputing that quick plastic forming, super plastic forming, and hydroforming are not well known techniques. What the Examiner is disputing that it is well known to use such techniques to make a one-piece vehicle frame member of the size and shape depicted by applicant. Frame members of the size and shape shown by applicant are typically made in small sections and welded together or joined in some other manner (see the Teply et al. reference). Some are made of tubular members joined together to form a whole frame member (see the Jackel et al. reference). Applicant should produce prior art showing a one-piece vehicle frame member of the size and complexity contemplated by applicant to prove that the technique for making such a frame is well known in the art. If the process is readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art and no prior art reference can be found, then some explanation should be given as to why all prior art frames of

Art Unit: 3612

similar size and complexity are made of multiple sections joined together rather than in onepiece as applicant suggests.

### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-7803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,843

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason S. Morrow

Page 6

Examiner

Art/()nit 36/2

September 20, 2004

MASON MORROW
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

9/20/04